UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/537,570	06/03/2005	Hideki Nabesako	SONYJP 3.3-1033	3574
	7590 07/07/201 /ID, LITTENBERG,	EXAMINER		
KRUMHOLZ &	& MENTLIK		PATEL, CHANDRAHAS B	
600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2464	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/07/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/537,570	NABESAKO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Chandrahas Patel	2464	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>17 June 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperior Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date thave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on hortened statutory period for reply origithan three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	ocalice ocalice
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ul>	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	ΓE below);	
<ul><li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li></ul>	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying ti	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a control NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			,
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed Claim(s) objected to: <u>11-13</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,4-6,9 and 10</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See continuation sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12.  ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). ( 13.  ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Ricky Ngo/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2464	/Chandrahas Patel/ Examiner, Art Unit 2464		

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's amendments to claims 1, 6 an 10 require further search and consideration by adding the limitations, "generating a multiplexing-completion signal that includes information identifying the encoded data that was multiplexed by the multiplexing means/unit; controlling a bit rate of the multiplexing in accordance with the state of the network; and calculating based on the multiplexing-completion signal an area occupied by the encoded data in the storage means/unit, releasing the area occupied by the encoded data, and controlling a bit rate of the encoding of further data inputted by the input means based on the area that was occupied by the encoded data". These limitations were not present in any of the dependent claims before therefore would require further search and consideration. Newly added claim 14-19 will require further search and consideration.